

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

Marquis Breeland,	)	C/A No.0:18-2152-JMC-PJG
	)	
Petitioner,	)	
	)	<b>ORDER</b>
vs.	)	
	)	
Warden, <i>Perry Correctional Institution</i> ,	)	
	)	
Respondent.	)	
_____	)	

Petitioner Marquis Breeland, a self-represented state prisoner, filed this habeas action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. This matter is before the court on Petitioner’s motion for appointment of counsel. (ECF No. 23.)

There is no right to appointed counsel in habeas cases. See *Pennsylvania v. Finley*, 481 U.S. 551, 555 (1987); *Hunt v. Nuth*, 57 F.3d 1327, 1340 (4th Cir. 1995). Attorneys may be appointed for a person “seeking relief under section 2241, 2254, or 2255 of title 28” when “the court determines that the interests of justice so require.” 18 U.S.C. § 3006A(a)(2)(B). Counsel may be appointed when counsel is necessary for effective discovery and must be appointed when evidentiary hearings are required. See Rules Governing § 2254 Cases, Rules 6(a) & 8(c), 28 U.S.C. foll. § 2254. At this time, no evidentiary hearing has been set in this case and the questions presented are not so complex as to require an attorney to effectively argue them for Petitioner. Although Petitioner appears to allege in a supplement to his motion that he suffers from memory loss (ECF No. 24), Petitioner has presented no evidence supporting a finding that any health issues would interfere with his ability to prosecute the grounds raised in his Petition. Based on the pleadings before the court, Petitioner

writes well and appears capable of addressing the legal issues. Accordingly, Petitioner's request for counsel to be appointed under the Criminal Justice Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3006A(a)(2)(B), is denied.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

January 10, 2019  
Columbia, South Carolina



---

Paige J. Gossett  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE